

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,866	LIMONT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	UMAR CHEEMA	2444	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) UMAR CHEEMA.

(3) Robert Bain (Reg. No. 36,736).

(2) Ranodhi Serrao.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 December 2010

Time: 2 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444
 /U. C./
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A phone call was made with the approval of Primary examiner Ranodhi Serrao to discuss examiner's amendment to overcome 101 issues and moving the application toward allowance. Applicant's representative Mr. Bain agreed upon proposed amendment draft via email.